RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

APR - 8 1998

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)
Federal-State Joint Board on)) CC Docket No. 96-45
Universal Service)
Access Charge Reform,) CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1,
Price Cap Performance Review) 91-213, 95-72
for Local Exchange Carriers,)
Transport Rate Structure)
and Pricing, End User Common)
Line Charge)

U S WEST, INC. REPLY COMMENTS TO PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FOURTH ORDER ON RECONSIDERATION

U S WEST, Inc. ("U S WEST") joins with the United States

Telecommunications Association, Ameritech, Rural Telephone Coalition, BellSouth

Corporation, and the Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies in their oppositions to

Petitions for Reconsideration ("PFR") of the Federal Communications Commission's

("Commission") decision to change voice grade access to the Public Switched

Network ("PSN").

No: of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

US WEST herein responds to PFRs of the <u>Fourth Order on Reconsideration</u> filed herein by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, North Dakota Public Service Commission and the South Dakota Public Service Commission (collectively "Petitioners"). Comments and oppositions filed Mar. 25, 1998.

In the Fourth Order on Reconsideration,² the Commission, on its own motion, changed the definition of voice grade access to the PSN to be a minimum bandwidth of 300 Hertz to 3,000 Hertz.³ In its <u>Universal Service Order</u> the Commission adopted a bandwidth of approximately 500 Hertz to 4,000 Hertz.⁴ In the Fourth Order on Reconsideration the Commission rightfully determined that it "did not intend to impose a more onerous definition of voice grade access than those generally established under existing industry standards." The Commission concluded that its decision to redefine the bandwidth requirements "will ensure that consumers receive voice grade access at levels that are consistent with Commission rules and that are not incompatible with current industry guidelines."

Petitioners request the Commission to reconsider its determination to reduce the bandwidth definition for voice grade service from 4,000 Hertz to 3,000 Hertz. In its January 27, 1998 ex parte submission, the Rural Utilities Service also asks the Commission to reconsider its reduction in the bandwidth requirements for universal service.

² In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Fourth Order On Reconsideration, FCC 97-420, rel. Dec. 30, 1997 ("Fourth Order On Reconsideration").

³ <u>Id</u>. ¶ 16.

⁴ In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd. 8776, 8811-12 ¶ 64 (1997) ("Universal Service Order"); appeals pending sub noms. Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel, et al. v. FCC, 97-60421 (5th Cir.); on recon., 12 FCC Rcd. 10095 (1997).

⁵ Fourth Order on Reconsideration ¶ 16.

⁶ <u>Id.</u>

⁷ Ex Parte Presentation of the Rural Utilities Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, dated Jan. 27, 1998, filed Jan. 30, 1998.

The Petitioners understand that, "there is still plant in service that can provide a bandwidth of only 3,000 Hertz." The Petitioners "[t]herefore [] recommend a phase-in from the 3,000 Hertz to the 3,500 Hertz bandwidth over a period of [] years. This phase-in is necessary so as not to deny support to carriers who have to build or modify plant to meet the 3,500 Hertz bandwidth."

The Petitioners fail to quantify the magnitude of the investment necessary or identify the "phase in" which would be necessary to upgrade the existing infrastructure by all carriers to meet this requirement. US WEST agrees with the parties who have filed comments in opposition to the Petitioners that the investment necessary could be substantial. The Commission must also consider that the carriers who have to make the substantial investment have no reasonable guarantee that the investment necessary will be recovered in today's competitive environment. Before the Commission expands the bandwidth guidelines to exceed industry standards, the Commission must understand the impacts of the substantial investment which would be required. The Commission should also further examine in a future proceeding, upfront reimbursement from the Universal Service Fund ("USF") for mandated construction requirements. In response to U S WEST's proposal for upfront construction cost reimbursement from the USF, the Commission said in its Universal Service Order that it would conduct such a proceeding:

⁸ See, e.g., Washington Utilities PFR at 2.

⁹ See, e.g., id.

Further investigation is needed to determine whether there are special circumstances, such as the need to attract carriers to unserved areas or to upgrade facilities, in which it may or may not be reasonable to compensate one-time costs with one-time payments. Because we believe this issue should be examined further, we will consider this proposal in a future proceeding.¹⁰

U S WEST asks the Commission to deny the Petitioners' request for reconsideration, and requests the Commission to delay any change in bandwidth requirements until the Commission fully understands the impacts of the associated construction costs and until it examines the upfront recovery of mandated construction costs in a future proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

US WEST, INC.

Bv:

Robert B. McKenna

John L. Traylor

Suite 700

1020 19th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20036

(303) 672-2798

Its Attorneys

Of Counsel, Dan L. Poole

April 8, 1998

¹⁰ Universal Service Order, 12 FCC Rcd. at 8902 ¶ 231.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kelseau Powe, Jr., do hereby certify that on this 8th day of April, 1998, I have caused a copy of the foregoing U S WEST, INC. REPLY COMMENTS TO PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FOURTH ORDER ON RECONSIDERATION to be served, via first class United States Mail, postage prepaid, upon the persons listed on the attached service list.

Kelseau Powe, Jr.

^{*}Served via hand delivery

*William E. Kennard Federal Communications Commission Room 814 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission Room 826 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Michael K. Powell Federal Communications Commission Room 844 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Susan P. Ness Federal Communications Commission Room 832 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *A. Richard Metzger, Jr. Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Sheryl Todd Federal Communications Commission Room 8611 2100 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037 *Lisa Gelb Federal Communications Commission Room 544 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Melissa Waksman Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *International Transcription Services, Inc. 1231 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Laska Schoenfelder Charles Bolle South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capital 500 East Capital Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-5070 (2 copies)

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska Public Utilities Commission Suite 400 1016 West 6th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501

Bridget Duff Julia Johnson Florida Public Service Commission Gerald Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0866

(2 copies)

Sandra Makeeff Iowa Utilities Board 5th Floor Lucas State Office Building Des Moines, IA 50319

Brian Roberts
California Public Utilities
Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Martha S. Hogerty
Public Counsel for the State
of Missouri
Room 250
Harry S. Truman Building
POB 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Debra M. Kriete
Pennsylvania Public Utilities
Commission
Room 110
Commonwealth and North Avenues
POB 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

James B. Ramsey National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners 1101 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. POB 684 Washington, DC 20444-0684

Philip F. McClelland Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

Thor Nelson Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel Suite 610 1580 Logan Street Denver, CO 80203 Kevin Swenzfeier New York State Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350 Rowland Curry
Public Utility Commission
of Texas
1701 North Congress Avenue
Austin, TX 78701

Deonne Bruning Nebraska Public Service Commission 1200 N Street POB 94927 Lincoln, NE 68509-4927 David Baker
Tiane Sommer
Georgia Public Service
Commission
244 Washington Street, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

(2 copies)

Ann Dean Maryland Public Service Commission 16th Floor 6 Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202-6806 Barry Payne
Indiana Office of the Consumer
Counsel
Room N501
100 North Senate Avenue
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2208

Michael S. Pabian Ameritech Room 4H82 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025 Mary McDermott Linda Kent Keith Townsend Lawrence E. Sarjeant United States Telephone Association Suite 600 1401 H Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Angela E. Giancarlo
Personal Communications Industry
Association
Suite 700
500 Montgomery Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Mark C. Rosenblum Peter H. Jacoby Judy Sello AT&T Corp. Room 3245I1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 Lawrence W. Katz Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 8th Floor 1320 North Court House Road Arlington, VA 22201 M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Gary R. Gardner Washington Association of Internet Service Providers 9445 37th Avenue, S.W. Seattle, WA 98126 Daniel P. Steele Washington State School Director's Association POB 40921 Olympia, WA 98504-0921

Doyle Winter Washington Association of School Administrators 825 5th Avenue Olympia, WA 98501 Maureen A. Scott Christopher C. Kempley Paul A. Bullis David P. Jankofsky Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007

Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen & Naftalin Suite 1100 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

RURAL

(CC96-45w.doc) Last Update: 4/7/98